## Pyrolytic Elimination of 1-Aryl-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl Acetates via Two Distinct Pathways

Shin-ichiro WATANABE, Nobujiro SHIMIZU,\* and Yuho TSUNO
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Hakozaki,
Fukuoka 812

Pyrolysis of 1-aryl-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl acetates,  $X-C_6H_4CH(OCOR)CH_2SiMe_3$  (X=m-Cl, H, p-Me, and p-MeO; R=CH $_3$  and CF $_3$ ), takes two distinct elimination pathways in varying ratios depending on solvent polarity, electron-supply from aryl groups, and nucleofugality of leaving groups.

Upon heating at 202  $^{\rm O}$ C in xylene, 1-(m-chlorophenyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl acetate (1a) cleanly gave (E)-1-(m-chlorophenyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethene (2a), whereas m-chlorostyrene (3a) was obtained when heated in DMSO. Obviously, 1a undergoes two distinct pyrolysis reactions involving an intramolecular elimination of acetic acid ( $k_{\rm H}$  process) or acetoxytrimethylsilane ( $k_{\rm Si}$  process). Table 1 shows the results of pyrolysis of 1a and related compounds, 1b-1d and 4a. The rates of pyrolysis were determined by measuring a time  $t_{1/2}$  at which 50% of the ester pyrolyzed. The rate constants for the two pathways,  $k_{\rm H}$  and  $k_{\rm Si}$ , could be estimated from the  $t_{1/2}$  values and the product ratios 2/3; the relative rate constants are given in Table 1.

Ar 
$$\frac{\text{SiMe}_3}{\text{Ar}}$$
  $\frac{\text{K}_{\text{Si}}}{\text{RCO}_2^- \text{H}}$   $\frac{\text{K}_{\text{Si}}}{\text{COCOR}}$   $\frac{\text{K}_{\text{H}}}{\text{OCOR}}$   $\frac{\text{K}_{\text{H}}}{\text{OCO}_3}$   $\frac{\text{K}_{\text{H}}}{\text{Ar}}$   $\frac{\text{K}_$ 

Replacement of solvent from xylene to DMSO resulted in a rate acceleration of  $2.6 \times 10^3$  for the  $k_{\rm Si}$  process but a factor of only 2.5 for the  $k_{\rm H}$  process, indicating different responses of the two processes to the solvent polarity. The effect of the leaving group is also striking. The trifluoroacetate 4a pyrolyzed in xylene very rapidly compared to 1a, and predominantly gave 3a in contrast to the exclusive formation of 2a from 1a. A good leaving group promotes the  $k_{\rm Si}$  process more effectively than the  $k_{\rm H}$ 

Ester	Solvent	t <sub>1/2</sub> b)	2/3 <sup>b)</sup>	Rel. k <sub>H</sub>	Rel. k <sub>Si</sub>
1a	xylene	390 m	0.032	(1.0)	(1.0)
	<pre>xylene/DMSO(4:1)</pre>	<b>41</b> m	6.1	1.3	2.5x10 <sup>2</sup>
	DMSO	4.5 m	33	2.5	2.6x10 <sup>3</sup>
1b	xylene	59 m	0.054	6.3	10
1c	xylene	17 m <sup>c)</sup>	0.084	21	53
1d	xylene	2.7 m <sup>c)</sup>	0.23	1.2x10 <sup>2</sup>	8.6x10 <sup>2</sup>
4a	xylene	< 1 m <sup>c)</sup>	2.03	>10 <sup>2</sup>	>8x10 <sup>3</sup>

Table 1. Pyrolysis of 1a-1d and 4a at 202 OCa)

- a) Pyrolysis was carried out using  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  solutions of esters
- in the presence of 1.1 equiv. of 2,6-lutidine as an acid quencher.
- b) Determined by GLC. c) Determined by NMR.

process. The logarithmic rates of pyrolysis of 1a-1d are correlated with  $\sigma^+$ , yielding  $\rho^+$  values of -1.74 (correlation coefficient R=0.997) and -2.45 (R=0.999) for the  $k_H$  and  $k_{Si}$  processes, respectively. We previously showed that a solvolytic ionization of 4, the substituent effect on which exhibits a  $\rho^+$  value of -3.07 (25 °C), leads to the selective formation of 3.1) These findings suggest two different mechanisms, a concerted pathway via the transition state  $5^2$  and an ionic one via the benzylic cation 6 for the  $k_H$  and  $k_{Si}$  processes, respectively.

It should be noted that the  $k_H$  process is accelerated by a  $\beta$ -silyl group. Thus, whereas 1-phenylethyl acetate did not pyrolyze appreciably on heating at 202  $^{O}$ C in xylene for 50 h, **1b** underwent the  $k_H$  elimination with a half-life of about 1 h under the same conditions. The  $\rho^+$  value of -1.7 is significantly more negative than a  $\rho^+$  value of -0.66 (600 K) reported for the pyrolysis of 1-arylethyl acetates.  $^{2}$ C) An E1-like but concerted process is suggested for the  $k_H$  elimination for the  $\beta$ -silylated alkyl acetate 1.

## References

- 1) N. Shimizu, S. Watanabe, and Y. Tsuno, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., **64**, 2249 (1991).
- 2) a) N. S. Isaacs, "Physical Organic Chemistry," Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex (1987); b) C. G. Smith, Progr. Phys. Org. Chem., 8, 75 (1971); c) R. Tayler, G. C. Smith, and W. H. Wetzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 4817 (1962); d) D. H. Wertz and N. L. Allinger, J. Org. Chem., 42, 698 (1977).

(Received February 7, 1992)